

1
2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4
5
6

7 JACK R. SIEFERT,

8 Plaintiff,

CV F 05 0430 OWW WMW P

9
10 vs.

ORDER RE: FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS (#12)

11 JEAN HOWARD, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13
14
15

16 Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action.
17 The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
18 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

19 On August 30, 2005, [findings and recommendations](#) were entered, recommending
20 dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file
21 objections within thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and
22 recommendations.

23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule
24 73-305, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
26 proper analysis.

Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on August 30, 2005, are adopted in full; and

2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to prosecute.
The Clerk is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2005
emm0d6

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE